



Certification of Mailing or Facsimile Transmission
I hereby certify that I have reasonable basis to expect that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being submitted as indicated below:
[X] mailed or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

James F. McBride 43,784
Name Registration No (if applicable)
February 17, 2005 Signature
Date

DFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an AMENDMENT for the patent application:

Application No. : 10/716,297
Applicant(s) : Bettiol et al.
Filed : November 18, 2003
Title : Amine Reaction Compounds Comprising One or More
Active Ingredient
TC/A.U. : 1751
Examiner : Dr. John R. Hardee
Conf. No. : 6900
Docket No. : CM1924MCC
Customer No. : 27752

1. [X] No additional fees (claims fees or extension fees) are known to be required.
2. [] The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA*	RATE	FEE
TOTAL	*	MINUS	**	=	x \$ 50 =	\$
INDEP.	*	MINUS	***	=	x \$ 200 =	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					+ \$ 360 =	\$
					TOTAL	\$

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the highest number of total claims previously paid for is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the highest number of independent claims previously paid for is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

3. [] The Commissioner is hereby petitioned under 37 CFR §1.136(a) to grant any extension of time needed for timely response to the Office Action dated in the above-identified application to preserve pendency of said application. The processing fee under 37 CFR §1.17 has been determined as follows: \$ for a -month extension of time.
4. The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 16-2480. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.
 - a. [x] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.16.
 - b. [x] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17.
5. The Director is hereby authorized to make any additional copies of this sheet needed to accomplish the purposes provided for herein and to charge any fee for such copies to Deposit Account No. 16-2480.

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By _____

Signature

James F. McBride

Typed or Printed Name


Registration No. 43,784

(513) 627-0079

Date: February 17, 2005

Customer No. 27752

(Transamd.doc) Revised 12/08/2004



Appl. No. 10/716,297
Atty. Docket No. CM1924MCC
Amdt. Dated February 17, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 2, 2005
Customer No. 27752

Certification of First Class Mailing
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 17, 2005

James F. McBride 43,784
Name of Attorney/Agent Registration No.

Signature of Attorney or Agent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/716,297
Applicant(s) : Bettiol et al.
Filed : November 18, 2003
Title : Amine Reaction Compounds Comprising One Or More
Active Ingredient
TC/A.U. : 1751
Examiner : Dr. John R. Hardee
Conf. No. : 6900
Docket No. : CM1924MCC
Customer No. : 27752

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.142

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of February 2, 2005, the time for response being extended by (0) zero months, please reconsider such application in view of the following amendments and remarks.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this paper.